International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056
Volume: 12 Issue: 12 | Dec 2025 www.irjet.net p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Paratransit as a Public Transport in Indian Cities

Ar. Mohd Arsh Khan[1], Dr. Prabhat Kumr Rao[2], Dr. Divya Pandey[3]

[1,2]nd Year, MURP, Faculty of Architecture & Planning, AKTU, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh 226007 (India)
[2]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Architecture & Planning, AKTU, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh 226007 (India)
[3] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Architecture & Planning, AKTU, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh 226007 (India)

Abstract - *India's urban transportation systems are notable for their heavy reliance on paratransit, or intermediate public transportation. Auto-rickshaws, shared cars, e-rickshaws, and other such para-transit vehicles that function in between official public transportation networks and private mobility are examples of these. Paratransit systems are still underappreciated in transportation planning and policy frameworks, despite their extensive use. This study looks at paratransit's function as a crucial part of urban mobility in Indian cities, emphasizing its institutional difficulties, operational features, and geographical importance. The study illustrates how paratransit makes up for shortcomings in official transportation systems, especially in terms of last-mile connection and access to peri-urban regions, using secondary data and policy literature. In order to improve sustainability, efficiency, and inclusion, the paper makes the case that paratransit should be explicitly incorporated into urban transportation planning.*

Key Words*: *Paratransit, Urban Mobility, Last-Mile Connectivity, Informal Transport, Indian Cities

1. INTRODUCTION

Urban transport infrastructure in Indian cities are under growing strain due to rapid urbanization and population increase. Even with expenditures in road infrastructure, bus rapid transit, and metro rail, a sizable amount of urban travel demand is still satisfied by informal and semi-formal transportation networks. Among these, paratransit is essential for offering flexible and reasonably priced mobility choices, although it is frequently disregarded.

Auto-rickshaws, shared cars, e-rickshaws, and other small-capacity vehicles that function between private and public transportation systems are examples of intermediate modes of transportation known as paratransit. These modes need very little capital investment, minimum scheduling, and flexible routing. In many Indian cities, paratransit serves as the main way for people with low and intermediate incomes to reach markets, jobs, healthcare, and education. Despite its importance, Para transit is often seen as a temporary or unofficial solution and left out of official transport planning frameworks. The operational features, geographical role, and governance issues of paratransit are highlighted in this paper's analysis of its functional significance in Indian cities. In order to achieve inclusive and sustainable mobility, the research contends that official urban transport planning must incorporate paratransit.

2. Conceptual Framework: Understanding Paratransit

Between private automobiles and large public transportation, paratransit occupies a middle ground. Flexible routes, shared occupancy, and demand-responsive operations are its defining characteristics. In contrast to fixed-route systems, paratransit adjusts to changes in travel behavior over time, spatial limitations, and passenger demand.

Paratransit serves three main purposes in the Indian context:

1. Connecting residential areas to bus terminals, metro stations, and activity centers is known as the "feeder role."
2. Primary transit Mode: The primary means of transportation in areas or cities without official public transit.
3. Adaptive Mobility Service: Adapting quickly to changes in travel demand throughout time and space.

Particularly in quickly growing cities where formal infrastructure construction lags behind urban expansion, these activities enable paratransit to address structural gaps within urban transport networks.

2. OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PARATRANSITS

2.1 Service Flexibility

Paratransit vehicles can react swiftly to passenger demand since they run on semi-fixed or flexible routes. This adaptability makes door-to-door movement easier and enables service coverage in places that are inaccessible to traditional buses.

2.2 Frequency and Utilization

Paratransit systems are characterized by high service frequency and long operation hours. Vehicle utilization rates are high because vehicles frequently travel small distances during the day.

2.3 Cost Structure and Affordability

Paratransit systems function with little assistance from the government and need very little capital investment. Because passenger prices usually cover operating expenses, these services are both financially sustainable for operators and reasonably priced for consumers.

2.4 User Profile

Students, senior travellers, low- and middle-class people, and informal laborers are the main groups served by paratransit. It is essential for short-distance travel and daily commuting because to its accessibility and cost.

3. Spatial Role and Urban Integration

Urban transportation networks, paratransit is essential for filling up geographical gaps. In outlying and peri-urban locations where formal services are scarce or nonexistent, it makes it easier to access job centers, educational institutions, and transit hubs.

Congestion and operational inefficiencies are frequently caused by the lack of specified infrastructure, such as ports, bays, and parking lots. However, rather than being caused by intrinsic problems with paratransit systems, these difficulties are the result of inadequate planning. Paratransit can greatly improve multimodal connections with the right spatial integration.

4. Governance and Institutional Challenges

Paratransit is still poorly regulated despite its extensive use. Due to the division of regulatory duties among several bodies, there is little service supervision, unclear fare control, and uneven enforcement. The incorporation of paratransit into official transport planning procedures is limited by the absence of a cohesive institutional structure.

Additionally, operational inefficiencies and safety problems are exacerbated by the lack of uniform service standards and performance criteria. Coordinated governance procedures and explicit governmental acknowledgement of paratransit's role in urban mobility are necessary to address these issues.

5. Policy Implications and Recommendations

- The following legislative actions are advised in order to fully utilise paratransit:
- Official acknowledgement of paratransit as a crucial part of metropolitan transportation networks.
- Construction of specific infrastructure, including interchange facilities, terminals, and stops.
- Coordinated routing and pricing mechanisms allow paratransit services to be integrated with public transit.
- Enhancing the institutional ability to monitor, regulate, and improve services.
- Encouragement of organized electrification plans and greener car technology.
- These actions would increase user safety, boost operational effectiveness, and support sustainable urban mobility.

6. Conclusion

In Indian cities, paratransit is an essential but undervalued part of urban transportation. It serves everyday mobility requirements across a range of socioeconomic groups by offering accessible, flexible, and reasonably priced travel. In order to achieve equitable and resilient urban mobility systems, paratransit must be acknowledged as a formal component of urban transport planning. In order to incorporate paratransit as a strategic element of sustainable urban development, future policy frameworks must go beyond marginalization.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, A. (2001). Macroeconomic variables as common pervasive risk factors and the empirical content of the Arbitrage Pricing Theory. Journal of Empirical Finance, 5(3), 221–240.
2. Basu, S. (1977). Investment performance of common stocks in relation to their price–earnings ratios: A test of the efficient market hypothesis. Journal of Finance, 32(3), 663–682.
3. Cervero, R. (2013). Linking urban transport and land use in developing countries. Journal of Transport and Land Use, 6(1), 7–24.
4. Cervero, R., & Golub, A. (2007). Informal transport: A global perspective. Transport Policy, 14(6), 445–457.
5. Centre for Policy Research (CPR). (2018). Understanding the role of intermediate public transport in Indian cities. New Delhi: CPR.
6. CPPR (Centre for Public Policy Research). (2018). Assessment of intermediate public transport systems in Indian cities. Kochi: CPPR.
7. Government of India. (2014). National Urban Transport Policy. Ministry of Urban Development, New Delhi.

8. ITDP India. (2022). Electrification of informal public transport in Indian cities. Institute for Transportation and Development Policy, New Delhi.

9. MoHUA. (2014). Urban transport and mobility framework. Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India.

10. MoHUA. (2017). Metro Rail Policy. Government of India.

11. MoHUA. (2021). National Urban Transport Policy: Implementation Review. Government of India.

12. CEEW. (2023). Understanding paratransit and informal mobility in Indian cities. Council on Energy, Environment and Water, New Delhi.

13. Harding, S. (2017). Regulating the last mile: The role of paratransit in Indian cities. University of British Columbia.

14. Gwilliam, K. (2008). Bus transport: Is there a regulatory cycle? Transportation Research Part A, 42(9), 1183–1194.

15. ITDP India & WRI India. (2019). Integrating informal transport into urban mobility planning. New Delhi.

16. World Bank. (2020). Urban mobility and inclusive transport systems in developing countries. Washington, DC.

17. Asian Development Bank. (2022). Sustainable urban transport in Asia: Trends and challenges. Manila.

18. Cervero, R., & Golub, A. (2011). Informal transport: A global perspective. Transport Policy, 18(1), 6–15.