International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056
Volume: 12 Issue: 12 | Dec 2025 www.irjet.net p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Illegible Medical Handwriting and Medical Errors: An Engineering Perspective on Digital and AI-Based Solutions, A Narrative Review.

G Sumedha Reddy[1], B Sahithi[2], C Hanvitha[3], Utkarsh Kumar Reddy[4]

[1] *Dept of Computer Science and Engineering, PES University, Banglore, India.*
[2] *Dept of Computer Science and Engineering, New Horizon College of Engineering, Banglore, India.*
[3] *Dept of Computer Science and Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Tirupati, India.*
[4] *Dept of Orthopedics, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India.*

Abstract - *Medical errors remain a significant cause of preventable morbidity and mortality worldwide, with documentation related issues representing an important but often underrecognized contributor. While digital clinical documentation has become standard in many high resource healthcare systems, manual handwritten records continue to dominate large parts of the world, including public sector healthcare in India and other low- and middle-income countries. Even within digitally enabled environments, clinicians face substantial documentation burdens that contribute to cognitive fatigue and workflow inefficiencies. Over time, these pressures may lead to increasingly illegible handwriting habits that persist into later clinical practice and contribute to medical errors. This narrative review examines the relationship between handwritten medical documentation and medical errors, explores how documentation burden and text fatigue influence handwriting legibility over the course of clinical training and practice, and presents a focused discussion of current and emerging technological solutions. Emphasis is placed on electronic health records, handwriting recognition, speech-to-text systems, and artificial intelligence–based clinical documentation tools as means to improve patient safety while preserving clinician workflow efficiency.*

Key Words: **Medical errors, Handwriting legibility, Clinical documentation, Artificial intelligence, Electronic health records, Healthcare engineering**

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Medical Errors and the Importance of Clinical Documentation

Medical errors constitute a major patient safety challenge globally and are a leading cause of preventable harm within healthcare systems. Accurate and unambiguous clinical documentation is fundamental to safe medical practice, as it enables effective communication among healthcare providers and supports informed clinical decision making [1,2]. Errors arising from incomplete, ambiguous, or misinterpreted documentation can propagate through clinical workflows, resulting in incorrect diagnoses, inappropriate treatments, and medication related adverse events.

Handwritten medical documentation has historically played a central role in healthcare delivery. However, increasing patient volumes, time constraints, and complex care environments have placed growing demands on clinicians to document care rapidly and extensively. These pressures have raised concerns regarding documentation quality, legibility, and reliability. Importantly, illegible handwriting should not be viewed in isolation as an individual failing, but rather as a downstream manifestation of broader system level constraints.

1.2 DIGITAL VERSUS MANUAL CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION: A GLOBAL CONTEXT

In high resource healthcare systems, particularly in Western countries and private tertiary care hospitals, clinical documentation has increasingly transitioned to digital platforms. Electronic health records (EHRs), computerized physician order entry, and e-prescribing systems are routinely used for ward notes, medication orders, discharge summaries, and investigation requests. In academic medical centres in the United States, residents are typically required to type detailed progress notes, enter electronic orders, and generate prescriptions using computer based systems, often during or after ward rounds [8,9].

Despite this digital shift, manual handwritten documentation remains prevalent across much of the world. In India and many low and middle income countries, handwritten ward notes, clinical charts, and prescriptions continue to form the backbone of documentation in public sector hospitals and resource constrained settings. Even within the same healthcare system, hybrid models frequently exist, where digital records coexist with handwritten notes. This variability in documentation practices introduces inconsistencies in legibility, accessibility, and reliability, creating opportunities for documentation related errors to occur.

1.3 DOCUMENTATION BURDEN AND TEXT FATIGUE

The increasing documentation burden placed on clinicians has been widely reported across healthcare systems. Studies have demonstrated that physicians may spend more time interacting with documentation systems than with patients

[8]. During residency training, clinicians are often required to generate large volumes of clinical notes under significant time pressure, frequently during long shifts and in high-acuity environments.

Sustained documentation demands contribute to cognitive and physical fatigue, often described as text fatigue. Whether documentation is handwritten or typed, prolonged data entry can lead clinicians to prioritize speed over clarity. Under such conditions, shorthand notation, compressed writing styles, and minimalistic documentation practices become adaptive coping mechanisms. These adaptations are not indicative of poor training or negligence, but rather reflect rational responses to high demand clinical environments.

1.4 EVOLUTION OF HANDWRITING OVER CLINICAL PRACTICE

Most clinicians begin their medical training with relatively legible handwriting and structured documentation habits. However, over time, repeated exposure to high volume documentation during residency and early clinical practice may lead to progressive changes in handwriting style. Writing becomes faster, more abbreviated, and increasingly compressed, often at the expense of legibility.

Importantly, these handwriting habits may persist beyond the training period. Even when clinicians transition to roles with reduced documentation volume, the writing patterns developed during residency often remain ingrained. As a result, illegible handwriting may continue into later stages of independent clinical practice, independent of the original workload pressures that contributed to its development.

2. MEDICALERRORS AND ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS

2.1 ILLEGIBLE HANDWRITING AND MEDICAL ERRORS

Illegible handwritten documentation has been associated with various types of medical errors, particularly medication related errors. These include misinterpretation of drug names, incorrect dosage transcription, ambiguity regarding administration frequency, and confusion between similarly named medications [4–7]. While handwriting alone rarely causes errors in isolation, it can act as a significant risk amplifier within complex healthcare systems.

The impact of illegible handwriting is especially pronounced in high risk environments such as outpatient departments, emergency settings, and intensive care units, where rapid decision making, frequent handovers, and high patient turnover increase vulnerability to documentation related miscommunication. In such contexts, even minor ambiguities in handwritten notes may have disproportionate consequences.

2.2 ENGINEERING PERSPECTIVE: CURRENT TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Engineering-driven interventions have played a crucial role in addressing documentation related medical errors. Electronic health records and e-prescribing systems reduce reliance on handwritten documentation by enforcing structured data entry, standardized medication orders, and automated checks for drug drug interactions [5,6]. These systems have been shown to reduce certain classes of medication errors.

However, typing-intensive documentation systems introduce new challenges, including increased documentation time, reduced clinician patient interaction, and burnout. Handwriting recognition and optical character recognition (OCR) technologies offer an alternative approach by allowing clinicians to write naturally while converting handwritten input into legible digital text. Traditional OCR systems, however, struggle with medical shorthand, variability in handwriting styles, and contextual interpretation, limiting their effectiveness in real-world clinical settings.

2.3 FUTURE DIRECTIONS: AI-ENABLED CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION

Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and digital health technologies offer promising opportunities to address documentation related medical errors while reducing clinician workload. Unlike earlier digitization efforts that primarily focused on replacing handwritten records with typed text, modern engineering solutions aim to redesign clinical documentation workflows in a manner that preserves clinician efficiency and natural work patterns.

2.3.1 AI-Assisted Handwriting Recognition

Traditional optical character recognition (OCR) systems have demonstrated limited effectiveness in clinical environments due to wide variability in handwriting styles, extensive use of abbreviations, and specialty specific shorthand. AI-based handwriting recognition systems, particularly those leveraging deep learning architectures, can overcome these limitations by learning contextual patterns from large datasets of medical handwriting. Such systems can be trained to recognize individual clinician writing styles and adapt over time, improving accuracy with continued use.

In contrast to rigid rule based systems, AI-driven models can incorporate linguistic context, medication databases, and clinical knowledge to disambiguate poorly written terms. For example, a handwritten entry resembling a drug name can be cross validated against patient diagnosis, dosing norms, and formulary data to reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation. This approach allows clinicians to document rapidly without sacrificing legibility or safety.

2.3.2 Speech-to-Text Systems for Clinical Documentation

Speech-to-text technology represents another important avenue for reducing documentation burden and handwriting-related errors. Modern natural language processing (NLP) systems enable real-time transcription of clinical conversations, ward round discussions, and dictated notes. When integrated into electronic health record systems, speech-based documentation can significantly reduce the need for manual typing or handwriting.

However, clinical speech presents unique challenges, including background noise, medical terminology, and conversational complexity. AI-enabled speech recognition systems trained specifically on clinical language have demonstrated improved performance in capturing structured medical information. Hybrid approaches combining speech input with clinician verification can further enhance reliability while maintaining efficiency.

2.3.3 Hybrid Multimodal Documentation Systems

A particularly promising direction involves the integration of multiple input modalities into a unified documentation platform. Hybrid systems combining handwriting input, speech-to-text transcription, and contextual decision support can leverage the strengths of each modality while compensating for their individual limitations.

For instance, clinicians may prefer to scribble brief notes or medication orders during busy clinical encounters, while dictating more detailed assessments or plans. AI systems can fuse these inputs, convert them into structured digital records, and highlight ambiguous entries for confirmation. Such multimodal approaches reduce reliance on any single documentation method and provide flexibility tailored to clinician preference and workflow.

2.3.4 Context-Aware Clinical Decision Support

AI-based documentation systems can be further enhanced through integration with clinical decision support mechanisms. Context-aware systems can analyse documented information in real time and identify potential inconsistencies, unsafe dosages, or clinically implausible combinations. Rather than issuing frequent disruptive alerts, intelligent systems can prioritize high-risk ambiguities, thereby minimizing alert fatigue.

Importantly, explainable AI principles should be incorporated to ensure clinician trust. Systems that transparently indicate why an entry has been flagged and allow easy correction are more likely to be accepted in real-world practice.

2.3.5 User-Centric and Resource-Sensitive Design Considerations

For widespread adoption, AI-enabled documentation tools must be designed with careful attention to usability and contextual constraints. In resource-limited settings, solutions should be lightweight, mobile-friendly, and capable of operating with intermittent connectivity. Tablet-based handwriting input and smartphone-enabled speech recognition systems offer scalable pathways for deployment in such environments.

Equally important is clinician acceptance. Documentation tools should aim to reduce, rather than increase, cognitive load and administrative burden. Systems that seamlessly integrate into existing workflows and require minimal behavioural change are more likely to succeed than those that impose rigid documentation structures.

2.4.6 Future Research Directions

Future research should focus on developing AI models trained on diverse, real-world clinical datasets that capture the variability of handwriting and speech across specialties and healthcare settings. Longitudinal studies evaluating the impact of AI-enabled documentation on medical error rates, clinician satisfaction, and patient outcomes will be essential to validate these technologies.

Ultimately, the goal of engineering innovation in this domain should not be to eliminate clinician autonomy, but to support safe, efficient, and human-cantered documentation practices.

3. CONCLUSION

Illegible medical handwriting should be understood as a system-level consequence of documentation burden and workflow constraints rather than an individual deficiency. While digital documentation has reduced reliance on handwritten records in some settings, manual documentation remains widespread globally, and documentation fatigue continues to influence clinician behavior even in digital environments. Engineering solutions that integrate artificial intelligence, handwriting recognition, and user-centric design principles have the potential to reduce documentation-related medical errors while preserving clinical efficiency. Collaborative efforts between clinicians and engineers will be essential to develop documentation systems that enhance patient safety without increasing cognitive or administrative burden.

REFERENCES

[1] Institute of Medicine. *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. National Academy Press, 2000.

[2] World Health Organization. *Medication without Harm: Global Patient Safety Challenge*. WHO, 2017.

[3] James JT. "A new, evidence-based estimate of patient harms associated with hospital care." *Journal of Patient Safety*,2013.

[4] "Poor handwriting as a cause of medication errors." *BMJ*, 1999.

[5] Rodgers RP et al. "Reduction in medication errors following computerized physician order entry." *JAMA*, 2005

[6] Velo GP, Minuz P. "Medication errors: prescribing faults and prescription errors." *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 2009.

[7] Hartel MJ et al. "Causes and prevention of medication errors." *Dtsch Arztebl Int*, 2011.

[8] Sinsky C et al. "Allocation of physician time in ambulatory practice." *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2016.

[9] Arndt BG et al. "Tethered to the EHR: Primary care physician workload." *Annals of Family Medicine*, 2017.

[10] Downing NL et al. "Electronic health record-related burnout." *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 2018
.
[11] Zhang J et al. "Usability issues in electronic health records." *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 2011.

[12] Kellermann AL, Jones SS. "What it will take to achieve the health IT vision." *Health Affairs*, 2013.

[13] Bates DW et al. "Ten commandments for effective clinical decision support." *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2003

[14] Esteva A et al. "A guide to deep learning in healthcare." *Nature Medicine*, 2019.

[15] Topol EJ. *Deep Medicine*. Basic Books, 2019.

[16] Raghavan P et al. "Handwriting recognition for medical documents." *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 2018.

[17] Shickel B et al. "Deep learning for electronic health records." *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 2018.

[18] Kocbek S et al. "Text mining electronic hospital records." *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 2016