# Soil Stabilization Using Shredded Rubber Tyre

### Umar Jan<sup>1</sup>, Vinod K. Sonthwal<sup>2</sup>, Ajay Kumar Duggal<sup>3</sup>, Er. Jasvir S. Rattan<sup>4</sup>, Mohd Irfan<sup>5</sup>

P.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, NITTTR, Chandigarh, India
 Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, NITTTR, Chandigarh, India
 Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, NITTTR, Chandigarh, India
 Sr. Technical Assistant, Department of Civil Engineering, NITTTR, Chandigarh, India
 Sassistant Engineer, Department of PW(I&FC), Doda, Jammu and Kashmir, India

\*\*\*

**Abstract** - Shredded rubber tyre having sizes ranges from 15mm to 25mm (Width) and 30mm to 50mm (Length) and the steel belting was removed are used extensively. Added amount of rubber tyre had been varied in proportions of 4%, 6%, 8% and 10%. Use of shredded rubber tyres in geotechnical engineering for enhancing the soil properties has received great attention in the recent times. This paper presents the investigation of behavior of pavement subgrade soil stabilized with shredded rubber tyre. It is found that the 8% (25 mm×50 mm) of tyre content is the specific value where the CBR has got the improvement of 66.28% than in comparison of the plain soil.

*Key Words:* Soil Stabilization, Clayey Soil, Shredded Rubber Tyre, CBR etc....

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The soil often is weak and has no enough stability in heavy loading. The aim of the study was to use the waste material for stabilization of soil in order to reduce the environmental impact. Several reinforcement methods are available for stabilizing soils. Scrap tyre generations is always on the increasing trend everywhere in the world. Majority of them end up in the already congested landfill or becoming mosquito breeding places. Worst when they are burned. This paper aims at studying the appropriateness of shredded rubber tyres for its use in pavement engineering, i.e. to stabilize the subgrade of the pavements. It discusses about CBR value of soil-tyre mixture and the results are presented.

#### **2. MATERIALS USED**

The soil used in this study collected from CCET, Sec. 26 (Chandigarh), India. Classification of soil as per BIS is CI which is clay with intermediate compressibility. Shredded rubber tyre was cut into different sizes ranges from 15mm to 25mm (Width) and 30mm to 50mm (Length). Added

amount of rubber tyre had been varied in proportions of 4%, 6%, 8% and 10%. The view of shredded rubber tyre used in the study is shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2.



Fig. 1: Shredded Rubber Tyre



Fig. 2: Soil-Shredded Rubber Tyre Mixture

#### **3. COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS**

Modified Proctor test is conducted on soil and soilshredded rubber tyre mixtures to determine its compaction characteristics, namely, the Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) and Maximum Dry Density (MDD). The soil is mixed with tyre shreds of 4%, 6%, 8% and 10% by weight of soil. The OMC and MDD values obtained are shown below in the tables.

Table 3.1: OMC and MDD table for size 15× 30 m	m
--	---

% of shredded	15× 30 mm		
rubber tyre	OMC (%)	MDD (g/cc)	
4	10.81	1.99	
6	10.53	1.89	
8	9.68	1.85	
10	9.32	1.79	

Table 3.2: OMC and MDD table for size 20×40 mm

% of shredded	20× 40 mm		
rubber tyre	OMC (%)	MDD (g/cc)	
4	11.38	1.98	
6	10.57	1.92	
8	10.13	1.85	
10	9.54	1.78	

Fable 3.3: OMC and	MDD table for	size 25× 50 mm
--------------------	---------------	----------------

% of shredded	25× 50 mm		
rubber tyre	OMC (%)	MDD (g/cc)	
4	10.52	1.96	
6	10.00	1.89	
8	9.36	1.84	
10	9.18	1.76	

It can be seen from the above tables that the MDD of soiltyre mixtures reduces significantly with an increase in the percentage of shredded rubber tyre. This is due to the light weight nature of shredded rubber tyre. On the other hand, the value of OMC also decreasing with an enhancement of percentage of shredded rubber tyre. This is due to the fact that the shredded rubber tyre has more water absorption capacity.

## 4. CBR VALUE OF SOIL-TYRE CHIPS

CBR tests were conducted on soil and soil-shredded rubber tyre mixtures to determine the CBR value from which the suitability of soil stabilized with shredded tyres can be assessed. In addition to that the thickness of the pavement can also be determined from the CBR value. The tests were conducted a corresponding OMC and MDD of the soil, soil-tyre mixtures. The soil is mixed with tyre shreds of 4%, 6%, 8% and 10% by weight of soil and modified proctor test were conducted on soil-shredded rubber tyre mixtures. The CBR values of the soil and soiltyre mixtures are summarized in the respective tables. The variation of CBR value with percentage of tyre is shown in **Fig.** 3, **Fig.**4 and **Fig.**5.

CBR value of Plain soil = 26.01%

Table 4.1: CBR value of Soil-Tyre mixture	(15× 30 mm)
---	-------------

% of shredded	Unsoaked CBR (15× 30 mm)		
rubber tyre	2.5	5.0	Percentage
	mm	mm	variation
4	36.00	35.73	38.36
6	36.52	33.81	40.36
8	37.83	36.26	45.39
10	33.74	33.45	29.72

Table 4.1: CBR value of Soil-Tyre mixture (20× 40 mm)

% of shredded	Unsoaked CBR (20× 40 mm)		
rubber tyre	2.5	5.0	Percentage
	mm	mm	variation
4	37.05	35.38	42.39
6	37.58	34.33	44.43
8	39.67	37.49	52.45
10	34.93	33.09	34.29

Table 4.1: CBR value of Soil-Tyre mixture (25× 50 mm)

% of shredded	Unsoaked CBR (25× 50 mm)		
rubber tyre	2.5	5.0	Percentage
	mm	mm	variation
4	41.25	39.06	58.52
6	41.51	40.12	59.52
8	40.46	43.27	66.28
10	37.43	36.73	43.91



Fig. 3: CBR values of Soil-Tyre mixture (15× 30 mm)



Fig. 4: CBR values of Soil-Tyre mixture (20×40 mm)



It is inferred from Tables and Fig.1, Fig.2 and Fig.3 that the 8% of size 25 mm×50 mm of tyre content is the specific value where the CBR has got the improvement of 66.28% than in comparison of the plain soil (26.01%). An improvement in CBR value of 66.28% can considerably trim down the overall thickness of the pavement and hence the total cost involved in the project.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the experiments carried out on soil and soil-tyre mixtures, the following observations and conclusions are drawn:

i) The optimum moisture content as well as maximum dry density is found to decrease with the increase of the percentage of rubber tyre content. This might be due to light weight nature of tyre waste.

- Shredded rubber tyre mixed with soil showed enhancement in CBR value with adding up to 8 % and there beyond decreased with additional increment in tyre content in unsoaked condition. Hence the optimal value of shredded rubber tyre is 8 % of size 25 mm×50 mm in unsoaked conditions.
- iii) The percentage enhancement in CBR value of stabilized soil is 66.28 % in unsoaked condition whereas an increase in CBR value can considerably trim down the total thickness of the pavement and hence the total cost concerned in the project.

## REFRENCES

- Ajay, K., and Jawaid, S.M. (2013) "Soil Modification Using Shredded Scrap Tires". International Journal of Biological Sciences & Technological Research (IJBSTR) Research Papers, Vol. 1, pp.10-13.
- 2) Amin, E.R. (2012) "A Review on the Soil Stabilization Using Low-Cost Methods". Journal of Applied Sciences Research, pp.2193-2196.
- Ayothiraman, R., and Abilash, M. (2011) "Improvement of subgrade soil with shredded waste tyre chips". Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference Kochi, Paper no H –033, pp.365–368.
- Gary J. Foose., Craig H. Benson., and Peter J. Bosscher. (1996) "Sand Reinforced with shredded waste Tires". Journals of Geotechnical Engineering, pp 760-767.
- 5) Ghatge Sandeep Hambirao., and Rakaraddi, P.G. (2014) "Soil Stabilization Using Waste Shredded Rubber Tyre Chips". Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (JMCE), Vol. 11, pp. 20-27.
- 6) Humphrey, D. N, and Manion, W. P. (1992). Properties of tire chips for lightweight fill. Proc. Conference on Grouting, Soil Improvement and Geosynthetics, New York, 1344-1355.
- 7) Humphrey, D. N., and Nickels, W. L. (1997) "Effect of tire chips as lightweight fills on pavement performance". Proc. 14th Int. Conf. On Soil Mech. and Found. Engg, 3, Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, pp.1617-1620.
- 8) Humphrey, D. N., and Nickels, W. L. (1997) "Effect of tire chips as lightweight fills on pavement performance". Proc. 14th Int. Conf. On Soil Mech. and Found. Engg, 3, Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, pp.1617-1620.
- 9) Krishna R. Reddy., and Arvind Marella. (2001) "Properties of different size scrap tire shred: Implications on using as drainage material in land fill cover systems". The Seventeenth International Conference on Solid Waste Technology and Management, Philadelphia, USA, pp.1-19.

- 10) Mandeep Singh., and Anupam Mittal. (2014) "A Review On the Soil Stabilization with Waste Materials". International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 National Conference on Advances in Engineering and Technology, pp.11-16.
  - 11) Manoj, K.V., and Ramesh, H.N., (2012) "Strength and Performance of Black Cotton Soil Treated with Calcium Chloride". IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSRJMCE) ISSN: 2278-1684 Volume 2, pp. 21-25.
  - 12) Peter J. Bosscher., Thncer B. Edil., and Senro Kuraoka. (1997) "Design of Highway embankment using tire chips". Journals of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, pp.295-304.
  - 13) Prasad, D.S.V., and Prasad Raju, G.V.R. (2009) "Performance of waste tire rubber on model flexible pavement". Asian Research Publishing Network Journal on Applied Science, Vol.4, pp.89–92.
  - 14) Prasad, D.S.V., Prasad Raju, G.V.R. and Anjan, M Kumar.(2009) "Utilization of Industrial Waste in Flexible Pavement Construction".Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Vol. 13, pp.1-12.
  - 15) Tuncer, B. Edil., Jae, K. Park., and Jae, Y. Kim, (2004) "Effectiveness of Scrap Tire Chips as Sorptive Drainage Material". Journal of Environmental Engineering, Vol. 130, No. 7, pp.824-831.
  - Venkara, P. Muthyalu., Ramu, K and Prasada Raju, G.V.R, (2012) "Study on Performance of Chemically Stabilized Expansive Soil" International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology, ISSN: 2231-1963, Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 139-148
  - 17) Venkatappa Rao, G., and Dutta, R.K, (2006)"Compressibility and strength behaviour of sand-tyre chip mixtures". Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, pp.711–724.

#### **BIOGRAPHIES**





management, Civil Engineering Department, NITTTR Chandigarh **Er. Vinod Kumar Sonthwal-** The author is currently working as Associate professor Civil Engineering

**Umar Jan-** The author has completed

his B.Tech in Civil Engineering from

Punjab Technical University and

currently pursuing his M.Tech in

technology

and

construction

author is currently working as Associate professor, Civil Engineering Department at NITTTR Chandigarh. He has completed his M.Tech in Geo-Technical Engineering from NIT Kurushetra. The author has a very vast experience in foundation designing.



**Er. Ajay Kumar Duggal-** The author is currently working as Associate professor, Civil Engineering Department at NITTTR Chandigarh. He has completed his M.Tech in Transportation Engineering from university of Roorke. The author has a very vast experience in highway designing



**Er. Jasvir Singh Rattan**– The author is currently working as Sr. Technical Assistant, Civil Engineering Dept. at NITTTR Sector 26, Chandigarh since Dec. 1989. He has completed his M.Tech. in Civil Engineering and has past experience working with PAU Ludhiana (Department of Soils) and Border Roads Organization



**Mohd Irfan-** The author has completed his B.E (Honours) in Civil Engineering from University of Kashmir (U.K).