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#### Abstract

In this paper the circular water tank is analyzed by using finite element method. The wall of the circular water tank is analyzed for parameters such as moment and hoop tension at various levels subjected to hydrostatic pressure by using eccentric stiffeners. The wall is divided into number of 4 noded rectangular(quadrilateral) plate elements. The vertical beam elements are attached to the wall up to $40 \%$ height of the tank. Then the tank is subjected to triangularly varying hydrostatic load. The analysis is carried out for different $H^{2} / D t$ ratios such as 16 and 25.5. Vertical eccentric stiffeners are attached to the tank having $H^{2} / D t$ ratio 25.5 and tank having $H^{2} / D t 16$ is analyzed without stiffeners.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

There is Always a need of some improved kind of structural forms in the construction industry. One such common form is stiffened shell. Because of their improved performance under different load conditions stiffened structural elements have found wide application in modern structures. The primary advantage of stiffened shell is structural efficiency. The stiffened structural system achieves conservation of weight with no sacrifice of strength or reduction of critical buckling load. Economy is usually simultaneously achieved and appearance of structure is enhanced as bonus. Here, a stiffened cylindrical wall of circular water tank is considered and analyzed by finite element method by using STAAD-Pro software

Water tank parameters include the general design of the tank, and choice of construction materials, linings. Reinforced Concrete Water tank design is based on IS 3370: 2009 (Parts I - IV). The design depends on the location of tanks, i.e. overhead, on ground or underground water tanks. The tanks can be made of RCC or even of steel. The overhead tanks are usually elevated from the ground level using number of columns and beams. On the other hand the underground tanks rest below the ground level.

### 1.1 STIFFENERS

Stiffeners are secondary plates or sections which are attached to structures to stiffen them against out of plane deformations. Almost all main bridge beams will have stiffeners. However, most will only have transverse web stiffeners, i.e. vertical stiffeners attached to the web. Deep beams sometimes also have longitudinal web stiffeners. Flange stiffeners may be used on large span box girder bridges but are unlikely to be encountered elsewhere.

## TYPES OF STIFFENERS

1. Longitudinal Stiffener
2. Transverse Stiffener

- Longitudinal web stiffeners are the stiffeners which are aligned in the span direction. Transverse stiffeners are the stiffeners which are aligned normal to the span direction of the beam.
- Transverse web stiffeners are usually provided at bearing positions and these are known as bearing stiffeners. For future maintenance it is good practice to provide bearing stiffeners at jacking points (for when girders have to be raised to free bearings for replacement). Other transverse stiffeners are called intermediate transverse web stiffeners.


## 2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1) To make the study about the analysis and design of water tanks.
2) To study the behaviour of different type of stiffeners in different position under different load conditions.
3) To compare the conventional design of water tank with the design of water tank using different type of stiffeners.
4) To know economical design of water tank.

## 3. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The basic purpose of this work is to "analyze the wall of cylindrical tank for moment and tension values at various levels along the height of wall subjected to hydrostatic pressure by using eccentric stiffeners."

For the analysis of wall finite element method is used. The analysis is to be carried out on the wall of cylindrical water tank with vertical stiffeners. For the analysis of wall 8, 12 and 16 numbers of vertical stiffeners are used along the periphery of tank and height of stiffener is kept up to $40 \%$ of the wall height.

## 4. METHODOLOGY

In this dissertation it is proposed to analyze the wall of cylindrical water tank resting on ground and study its structural behavior under the influence of hydrostatic loads when stiffened by eccentric stiffeners. The objectives are to find out moments and tension in stiffened cylindrical wall of water tank. To obtain these following variable parameters are used for cylindrical wall and stiffeners. Convergence study is conducted for different no of elements. In this analysis the stiffening effect is considered by stiffening the shell element by introducing a beam element as a stiffener. With the same mesh size, analysis is performed for $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}$ ratio 25.5 and different stiffener width.

For cylindrical wall
a) H - Height of cylindrical wall
b) D - Diameter of tank
c) t-Thickness of cylindrical Wall

For stiffeners
a) n - Number of stiffeners
b) b-Width of stiffeners
c) d - Depth of stiffeners

Hydrostatic load is applied on the wall as per depth of water.

### 4.1 DETAILS OF STRUCTURE

A) WALL

Wall of cylindrical water tank is considered as Shell. The element considered is four nodded (quadrilateral) flat shell element. Flat shell element is considered because as we divide shell into number small element its curved surface becomes flat. The following geometry related modelling roles must be considered while using the plate/shell element.

- Element aspect ratio should not be excessive. The ratio should be almost to the order of $1: 1$.
- Individual element should not be distorted.
- Angles between two element side should not be larger than $90^{\circ}$ and never larger than $180^{\circ}$.


## B) VERTICAL STIFFENER

The height of vertical stiffeners are considered as $40 \%$ of the height of wall of water tank from bottom of tank. The stiffener is connected to the common nodes to tank of wall. The stiffeners are placed at the equal angle. Beam element is taken to assign the stiffener, various numbers of stiffeners are used for modelling such as 8,12 and 16 number of stiffeners.

## Section of stiffeners

Section of stiffener is decided by using $b / t_{s}$ and $d / b$ ratios
Here, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ Thickness of stiffener considered $=0.3 \mathrm{~m}$
For various combinations of above ratio stiffener sections will be as below.

## a) For rectangular section stiffeners:

Table No 1: Sectional Properties of Rectangular Section Stiffeners

| Ratio <br> combinations | Width of <br> Stiffener (b) | Depth of <br> Stiffener (d) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b t}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 d b 1 . 5}$ | 0.300 m | 0.675 m |
| $\mathbf{b t}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 d b 2}$ | 0.300 m | 1.200 m |
| $\mathbf{b t}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 . 5 d b 1 . 5}$ | 0.450 m | 0.675 m |
| $\mathbf{b t}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 . 5 d b 2}$ | 0.450 m | 1.200 m |
| $\mathbf{b t}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{2 d b 1} \mathbf{5}$ | 0.600 m | 0.675 m |
| $\mathbf{b t}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{2 d b 2}$ | 0.600 m | 1.200 |

## b) For trapezoidal section stiffeners:

For trapezoidal section no ratio is used to decide the section properties, from the above ratios the depth at top and bottom are decided, there is no variation in depth, the depth is kept constant.

Table No 2: Sectional Properties of Trapezoidal Section Stiffeners.

| Width of <br> Stiffener | Depth of <br> Stiffener at top | Depth of Stiffener <br> at bottom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.300 m | 0.675 m | 1.200 m |
| 0.450 m | 0.675 m | 1.200 m |
| 0.600 m | 0.675 m | 1.200 m |



Fig No 1: Cylindrical Wall of Tank with Vertical Stiffeners


Fig No 2: Tank without Vertical Stiffeners
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## 5. RESULT AND DESCUSSION

The results for moments and hoop tension for vertically stiffened tank having $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}=25.5$ and for tank without stiffeners having $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}=16$

Table No 3: Moments for circular water tank with rectangular stiffeners and without stiffeners.

| Height | Moment (KN.m/m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (m) | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s }} 1 \mathrm{db} 1.5$ |  |  | bts 1 db2 |  |  | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s }} 1.5 \mathrm{db} 1.5$ |  |  | Without Stiffeners |
| No of Stiffeners | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 |  |
| 0.1h | -0.11 | -0.083 | -0.084 | -0.173 | -0.137 | -0.131 | -0.122 | -0.084 | -0.086 | -0.158 |
| 0.2h | 0.108 | 0.093 | 0.07 | 0.101 | 0.129 | -0.114 | 0.125 | 0.101 | 0.116 | -0.493 |
| 0.3h | 0.094 | 0.076 | 0.05 | -0.437 | -0.84 | -0.948 | 0.097 | 0.071 | -0.034 | -2.383 |
| 0.4h | -0.748 | -0.794 | -0.789 | -1.61 | -2.386 | -2.81 | -0.831 | -0.912 | -0.917 | -5.095 |
| 0.5h | -3.278 | -3.494 | -3.601 | -4.532 | -4.125 | -4.168 | -3.367 | -3.678 | -3.835 | -7.635 |
| 0.6h | -3.647 | -3.396 | -2.952 | 10.441 | 10.88 | 10.923 | -3.821 | -3.46 | -2.823 | 2.582 |
| 0.7h | 11.346 | 11.27 | 11.208 | 16.88 | 17.667 | 18.226 | 13.048 | 12.986 | 12.932 | 32.059 |
| 0.8h | 46.709 | 46.446 | 46.439 | 50.471 | 49.082 | 46.359 | 46.914 | 46.528 | 46.089 | 112.418 |
| 0.9h | 86.167 | 85.705 | 84.243 | 89.17 | 87.34 | 82.111 | 86.446 | 85.8 | 83.805 | 176.767 |
| 1.0h | 46.194 | 46.21 | 46.262 | 44.714 | 43.546 | 43.149 | 46.294 | 46.279 | 46.374 | 92.618 |

Table No 4: Moments for circular water tank with rectangular stiffeners and without stiffeners.

| Height | Moment (KN.m/m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (m) | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s }} \mathbf{1 . 5 d b} 2$ |  |  | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s } 2 \mathrm{db1}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | bt ${ }_{\text {s }} \mathbf{d d b} 2$ |  |  | Without Stiffeners |
| No of Stiffeners | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 |  |
| 0.1h | -0.2 | -0.16 | -0.148 | -0.132 | -0.086 | -0.089 | -0.223 | -0.179 | -0.16 | -0.158 |
| 0.2h | -0.12 | -0.217 | -0.203 | 0.138 | 0.108 | 0.122 | -0.17 | -0.292 | -0.279 | -0.493 |
| 0.3h | -0.632 | -1.201 | -1.37 | -0.11 | -0.104 | -0.087 | -0.793 | -1.499 | -1.719 | -2.383 |
| 0.4h | -1.962 | -2.997 | -3.585 | -0.911 | -1.031 | -1.05 | -2.24 | -3.491 | -4.212 | -5.095 |
| 0.5h | -5.05 | -4.452 | -4.006 | -3.394 | -3.792 | -3.996 | -5.453 | -4.669 | -3.767 | -7.635 |
| 0.6h | 13.226 | 14.005 | 14.211 | -3.966 | -3.502 | -2.681 | 16.439 | 17.568 | 17.901 | 2.582 |
| 0.7h | 16.84 | 17.545 | 18.51 | 14.321 | 14.285 | 14.246 | 16.412 | 17.009 | 18.204 | 32.059 |
| 0.8h | 51.562 | 49.778 | 46.15 | 47.106 | 46.598 | 45.761 | 52.35 | 50.204 | 45.775 | 112.418 |
| 0.9h | 90.107 | 87.911 | 81.514 | 86.704 | 85.883 | 83.42 | 90.81 | 88.291 | 80.923 | 176.767 |
| 1.0h | 44.793 | 43.479 | 43.226 | 46.406 | 46.385 | 46.522 | 44.994 | 43.648 | 43.549 | 92.618 |

Table No 5: Moments for circular water tank with trapezoidal stiffeners and without stiffeners.

| Height | Moment (KNm/m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (m) | 300 MM WIDTH |  |  | 450 MM WIDTH |  |  | 600 MM WIDTH |  |  | Without Stiffeners |
| No of Stiff | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 |  |
| 0.1h | -0.147 | -0.097 | -0.097 | -0.166 | -0.106 | -0.104 | -0.182 | -0.113 | -0.11 | -0.158 |
| 0.2h | 0.136 | 0.148 | 0.148 | 0.148 | 0.162 | 0.144 | 0.155 | 0.171 | 0.135 | -0.493 |
| 0.3h | -0.154 | -0.306 | -0.313 | -0.257 | -0.484 | -0.523 | -0.358 | -0.646 | -0.728 | -2.383 |
| 0.4h | -1.133 | -1.538 | -1.697 | -1.341 | -1.914 | -2.162 | -1.521 | -2.238 | -2.574 | -5.095 |
| 0.5h | -3.863 | -4.198 | -4.718 | -4.236 | -4.322 | -4.986 | -4.542 | -4.375 | -5.127 | -7.635 |
| 0.6h | 5.942 | 5.772 | 5.53 | 8.615 | 8.936 | 8.399 | 10.715 | 11.881 | 10.718 | 2.582 |
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| $\mathbf{0 . 7 h}$ | 20.502 | 21.022 | 21.32 | 22.345 | 22.892 | 23.536 | 23.214 | 23.714 | 24.678 | 32.059 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 h}$ | 48.763 | 48.016 | 46.62 | 49.513 | 48.454 | 46.432 | 50.1 | 48.742 | 46.166 | 112.418 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 9 h}$ | 87.934 | 86.316 | 81.79 | 88.631 | 86.599 | 81.001 | 89.18 | 86.79 | 80.318 | 176.767 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0 h}$ | -19.107 | -20.513 | -19.430 | -19.851 | -21.437 | -19.772 | -20.299 | -21.939 | -19.658 | 92.618 |

Table No 6: Hoop Tension for circular water tank with rectangular stiffeners and without stiffeners.

| Height | Hoop Tension ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (m) | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s }} 1 \mathrm{db} 1.5$ |  |  | $\mathrm{bt}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 d b 2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s }} 1.5 \mathrm{db} 1.5$ |  |  | Without |
| No of Stiffeners | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 |  |
| 0.1h | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.677 | 0.673 | 0.674 | 0.675 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.417 |
| 0.2h | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.248 | 1.241 | 1.240 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 0.768 |
| 0.3h | 2.001 | 2.001 | 2.001 | 2.009 | 2.001 | 1.997 | 2.002 | 2.001 | 2.001 | 1.244 |
| 0.4h | 2.570 | 2.570 | 2.570 | 2.587 | 2.592 | 2.591 | 2.571 | 2.570 | 2.571 | 1.617 |
| 0.5h | 3.368 | 3.369 | 3.373 | 3.428 | 3.463 | 3.498 | 3.374 | 3.375 | 3.381 | 2.167 |
| 0.6h | 4.319 | 4.320 | 4.333 | 4.431 | 4.453 | 4.507 | 4.322 | 4.327 | 4.343 | 2.795 |
| 0.7h | 5.075 | 5.080 | 5.095 | 5.125 | 5.116 | 5.125 | 5.088 | 5.095 | 5.114 | 3.249 |
| 0.8h | 5.645 | 5.623 | 5.611 | 5.566 | 5.442 | 5.375 | 5.641 | 5.611 | 5.590 | 3.47 |
| 0.9h | 4.813 | 4.764 | 4.733 | 4.712 | 4.492 | 4.414 | 4.807 | 4.740 | 4.692 | 2.861 |
| 1.0h | 0.613 | 0.614 | 0.609 | 0.581 | 0.572 | 0.554 | 0.605 | 0.605 | 0.600 | 0.358 |

Table No 7: Hoop Tension for circular water tank with rectangular stiffeners and without stiffeners.

| Height | Hoop Tension( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (m) | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s }} 1.5 \mathrm{db} 2$ |  |  | $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s } 2 \mathrm{db}} \mathrm{S}^{\text {5 }}$ |  |  | bt ${ }_{\text {s }} \mathbf{d d b} 2$ |  |  | Without Stiffeners |
| No of Stiffeners | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 |  |
| 0.1h | 0.678 | 0.673 | 0.674 | 0.675 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.678 | 0.673 | 0.673 | 0.417 |
| 0.2h | 1.249 | 1.240 | 1.238 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.250 | 1.239 | 1.236 | 0.768 |
| 0.3h | 2.011 | 2.002 | 1.997 | 2.002 | 2.001 | 2.000 | 2.013 | 2.003 | 1.996 | 1.244 |
| 0.4h | 2.593 | 2.603 | 2.604 | 2.571 | 2.571 | 2.572 | 2.598 | 2.611 | 2.614 | 1.617 |
| 0.5h | 3.454 | 3.500 | 3.551 | 3.380 | 3.381 | 3.390 | 3.477 | 3.529 | 3.594 | 2.167 |
| 0.6h | 4.470 | 4.499 | 4.564 | 4.334 | 4.338 | 4.360 | 4.499 | 4.534 | 4.609 | 2.795 |
| 0.7h | 5.126 | 5.110 | 5.117 | 5.097 | 5.106 | 5.128 | 5.121 | 5.101 | 5.104 | 3.249 |
| 0.8h | 5.544 | 5.367 | 5.275 | 5.638 | 5.599 | 5.572 | 5.527 | 5.304 | 5.190 | 3.47 |
| 0.9h | 4.687 | 4.414 | 4.294 | 4.801 | 4.720 | 4.654 | 4.669 | 4.358 | 4.195 | 2.861 |
| 1.0h | 0.569 | 0.568 | 0.545 | 0.597 | 0.597 | 0.591 | 0.563 | 0.556 | 0.530 | 0.358 |

Table No 8: Hoop Tension for circular water tank with trapezoidal stiffeners and without stiffeners.

| Height | Hoop Tension ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (m) | 300 MM WIDTH |  |  | 450 MM WIDTH |  |  | 600 MM WIDTH |  |  | Without Stiffeners |
| No of Stiffeners | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 |  |
| 0.1h | 0.675 | 0.674 | 0.675 | 0.676 | 0.674 | 0.675 | 0.676 | 0.674 | 0.675 | 0.417 |
| 0.2h | 1.246 | 1.242 | 1.242 | 1.247 | 1.241 | 1.241 | 1.247 | 1.241 | 1.240 | 0.768 |
| 0.3h | 2.005 | 1.998 | 1.997 | 2.006 | 1.998 | 1.996 | 2.007 | 1.998 | 1.995 | 1.244 |
| 0.4h | 2.577 | 2.572 | 2.570 | 2.580 | 2.576 | 2.573 | 2.583 | 2.580 | 2.578 | 1.617 |
| 0.5h | 3.386 | 3.406 | 3.414 | 3.399 | 3.427 | 3.443 | 3.411 | 3.445 | 3.469 | 2.167 |
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| $\mathbf{0 . 6 h}$ | 4.379 | 4.397 | 4.443 | 4.411 | 4.433 | 4.491 | 4.437 | 4.463 | 4.528 | 2.795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 h}$ | 5.151 | 5.157 | 5.182 | 5.164 | 5.172 | 5.199 | 5.169 | 5.183 | 5.206 | 3.249 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 h}$ | 5.602 | 5.546 | 5.502 | 5.586 | 5.493 | 5.433 | 5.574 | 5.445 | 5.373 | 3.47 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 9 h}$ | 4.746 | 4.575 | 4.507 | 4.726 | 4.514 | 4.406 | 4.711 | 4.468 | 4.321 | 2.861 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0 h}$ | 0.579 | 0.572 | 0.558 | 0.574 | $0 . .566$ | 0.549 | 0.571 | 0.555 | 0.536 | 0.358 |



Graph No 1: Moment Comparison of Tank with 8 No of Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 2: Hoop Tension Comparison of Tank with 8 No of Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 3: Moment Comparison of Tank with 12 No of Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 4: Hoop Tension Comparison of Tank with 12 No of Stiffeners \& Raft
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Graph No 5: Moment Comparison of Tank with 16 No of Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 6: Hoop Tension Comparison of Tank with 16 No of Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 7: Moment Comparison of Tank with 8 No of Tapered Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 8: Hoop Tension Comparison of Tank with 8 No of Tapered Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 9: Moment Comparison of Tank with 12 No of Tapered Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 10: Hoop Tension Comparison of Tank with 8 No of Tapered Stiffeners \& Raft
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Graph No 11: Moment Comparison of Tank with 16 No of Tapered Stiffeners \& Raft


Graph No 12: Hoop Tension Comparison of Tank with 12 No of Tapered Stiffeners \& Raft

### 5.1 COST COMPARISION:

Rate of Concrete: 5500 Rs /Cu.m Rate of Steel: 41200 RS /M.T
a) For Tank with Rectangular Stiffeners:

Table No 9: Cost comparison of circular water tank having rectangular stiffeners with circular tank without stiffeners.

| Stiffeners |  | Cost of Concrete / (cu.m) |  | Cost of Steel / (M.T) |  | Total Cost (Lakh) | Savings (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size (m) | No | Quantity | Cost | Quantity | Cost |  |  |
| Without Stiffeners |  | 1472.628 | 80.995 | 71.924 | 29.633 | 110.627 | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{bt}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 d b 1 . 5}$ | 8 | 1061.510 | 58.383 | 109.974 | 45.309 | 103.692 | 6.27 |
|  | 12 | 1063.975 | 58.519 | 110.483 | 45.519 | 104.038 | 5.96 |
|  | 16 | 1066.440 | 58.654 | 110.992 | 45.729 | 104.383 | 5.64 |
| bts 1 db2 | 8 | 1070.922 | 58.901 | 121.904 | 50.224 | 109.125 | 1.36 |
|  | 12 | 1078.094 | 59.295 | 135.286 | 55.738 | 115.033 | -3.98 |
|  | 16 | 1085.265 | 59.690 | 136.047 | 56.051 | 115.741 | -4.62 |
| bts $\mathbf{s}^{1.5 d b 1.5}$ | 8 | 1063.975 | 58.519 | 111.381 | 45.889 | 104.408 | 5.62 |
|  | 12 | 1067.673 | 58.722 | 112.021 | 46.152 | 104.874 | 5.20 |
|  | 16 | 1071.371 | 58.925 | 112.660 | 46.416 | 105.341 | 4.78 |
| $\mathrm{bt}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 . 5 d b}$ 2 | 8 | 1078.094 | 59.295 | 140.981 | 58.084 | 117.380 | -6.10 |
|  | 12 | 1088.850 | 59.887 | 141.797 | 58.421 | 118.307 | -6.94 |
|  | 16 | 1099.607 | 60.478 | 142.613 | 58.757 | 119.235 | -7.78 |
| $\mathrm{bt}_{\text {s }} \mathbf{2 d b 1 . 5}$ | 8 | 1066.440 | 58.654 | 113.416 | 46.728 | 105.382 | 4.74 |
|  | 12 | 1071.371 | 58.925 | 121.453 | 50.038 | 108.964 | 1.50 |
|  | 16 | 1076.301 | 59.197 | 115.008 | 47.383 | 106.580 | 3.66 |
| bts 2 db2 | 8 | 1085.265 | 59.690 | 137.830 | 56.786 | 116.475 | -5.29 |
|  | 12 | 1099.607 | 60.478 | 145.419 | 59.913 | 120.391 | -8.83 |
|  | 16 | 1113.950 | 61.267 | 140.094 | 57.719 | 118.986 | -7.56 |

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)
e-ISSN: 2395-0056
Volume: 07 Issue: 08 | Aug 2020
www.irjet.net
p-ISSN: 2395-0072
b) Results for Tank with Tapered Section Stiffeners:

Table No 10: Cost comparison of circular water tank having trapezoidal stiffeners with circular tank without stiffeners.

| Stiffeners |  | Cost of Concrete / (cu.m) |  | Cost of Steel / (M.T) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Cost } \\ & \text { (Lakh) } \end{aligned}$ | Savings (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size (m) | No | Quantity | Cost | Quantity | Cost |  |  |
| Without Stiffeners |  | 1472.628 | 80.995 | 71.924 | 29.63269 | 110.627 | 0.00 |
| (0.675 x 1.2) | 8 | 1066.217 | 58.642 | 125.702 | 51.78937 | 110.431 | 0.18 |
| 300 MM WIDTH | 12 | 1071.035 | 58.907 | 132.928 | 54.76636 | 113.673 | -2.75 |
|  | 16 | 1075.854 | 59.172 | 126.778 | 52.23244 | 111.404 | -0.70 |
| 450 MM WIDTH | 8 | 1071.031 | 58.907 | 128.283 | 52.8524 | 111.759 | -1.02 |
|  | 12 | 1078.257 | 59.304 | 135.650 | 55.88799 | 115.192 | -4.13 |
|  | 16 | 1085.482 | 59.702 | 136.330 | 56.16813 | 115.870 | -4.74 |
| 600 MM WIDTH | 8 | 1075.854 | 59.172 | 134.423 | 55.38237 | 114.554 | -3.55 |
|  | 12 | 1085.490 | 59.702 | 141.992 | 58.50074 | 118.203 | -6.85 |
|  | 16 | 1095.127 | 60.232 | 142.873 | 58.86366 | 119.096 | -7.65 |

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper circular water tank is analyzed for various $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}$ ratio, circular water tank having $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}=25.5$ is stiffened with vertical stiffeners upto $40 \%$ height of tank from bottom. This model is compared with circular tank having no stiffeners and $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}=16$. From the analysis following conclusions are drawn.

- The stiffened structural system achieves some economy with no sacrifice to strength.
- Use of eccentric stiffeners reduces moments but there is increase in hoop tension for tank having $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}=25.5$ as compared to tank having $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{Dt}=16$.
- For lower b/d ratio of stiffeners there is reduction in cost as compare to higher $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d}$ ratio.
- Maximum economy is achieved for minimum number of stiffeners.
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