

Design of Sewer and Strom Water Drainage Network for Karaikal

SENTHAMIZHSELVAN T

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Bharathiyar College of Engineering and Technology, Karaikal

Abstract – Sewerage networks and storm water drainage are important infrastructure part of any society. The present project was undertaken to design the efficient sewerage network and storm water drainage system for Karaikal town. As part of the project, assessment of present conditions of the sewerage and drainage system was studied. By using water supply and population data to estimate the quantity of waste water generated from the rainfall data and assume that suitable coefficient of runoff, to estimate the quantity of storm water produced. From the rainfall data and coefficient of runoff, to estimate the quantity of storm water produced. Rational method was used to design storm drain. Then these data were used to draw the sewerage network and storm water drainage map using AutoCAD. Preliminary costing for sewer network was estimated.

Key Words: Sewerage network, Storm water drain, Rational method, Preliminary cost.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sewage network is an important part of the infrastructure. The main purpose of providing the sewer network is to carry away sanitary waste from a municipal area in such a way that it does not cause any public health related problem. It is known that rural sewage system one the basic infrastructure facilities to transport sanitary waste to sewage treatment plant. storm is a the form of the waste waters from the rain leftover the land without any use that cause many problem to the human like disease and etc.,. So transport the storm water to the sewer is important part of designing sewer.

1.1 Objectives of the study

The following objectives were made for this study:

To assess the current status of sewerage disposal and storm water drainage system

To design and mapping the separate network system

To estimate the preliminary costing of the sewer network system

2. STUDY AREA

Karaikal district is situated in Pondicherry U.T. Its total area is 160 km square. It has a population of 2,00,222 as per the 2011 censes. The town is situated on 11° 23' N latitude and 79° 73' E longitude. It is holly place is located 11km to the

south-west of Thirunallar temple, 32 km ton the south-east of Vellankanni, 15 km to the south-east of Nagour Dharka, 2km to the south of Karaikal Ammaiyar temple and 12 km to the to the Karaikal port.



Fig -1: Karaikal, Pondicherry U.T.



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056 Volume: 07 Issue: 09 | Sep 2020 www.irjet.net p-ISSN: 2395-0072

3. METHODOLOGY



Fig -2: Methodology

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study Karaikal taluk was selected. Population, rainfall, current water supply scenario were collected and analyzed. Design of sewer and storm water network was done using Manning's formula and rational method.

4.1 Questionnaire survey

A detailed questionnaire survey was conducted to reveal the current sceneries of sewage & drainage in the survey area. From the survey, the existing storm water drainage is not fulfill its function. The maintenance is poor & it is damaged in many areas. Almost 80% of the people said that water stagnation problem.

In Karaikal municipality, there is no separate system for sewage. Most of the area are discharged the sewer into storm water drain. It is also a big issue which is deducted in this survey. So we concluded that, a separate system can be designed to overcome those issues.



Fig -3: Damaged storm water drain in Bharatiyar street, Karaikal

4.2 Population forecasting

Ward wise population data has been collected for the decades 1971, 1981, 1991, 2001 from the Karaikal municipality. By using Arithmetic method population is forecasting for next 50 years. This population is used for further studies.

Sl. No.	Year	Population	Arithmetic Increase
1	1971	43338	
2	1981	52399	9061
3	1991	63576	11177
4	2001	74438	10862
5	2011	86836	12398
		Average	10875

Table -1: Population forecasting

4.2.1 Arithmetical increase method

$P_n = P_{1+n} \times X$

Where,

- P_n = Population nth in present
- = Population in last decades P_1
- = Number of decades n
- = Average Arithmetical increase X

4.2.2 Sample calculation

P_1	=86836
n	=0.6
Х	=10875
P ₂₀₁₇	= 86836 + 0.6 × 10875 = 93361
P ₂₀₁₇	=93361
P ₂₀₂₁	= 97711
P ₂₀₃₁	=108586
P ₂₀₄₁	=119461



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)e-ISSN: 2395-0056Volume: 07 Issue: 09 | Sep 2020www.irjet.netp-ISSN: 2395-0072

P₂₀₅₁ =130336

P₂₀₆₁ =141211

P₂₀₆₇ =148824

4.3 Quantity of sewerage produced

As per IS 1172-1993 recommendation, the minimum water requirement for each person in Karaikal municipality is 150 LPCD, from that total water requirement is calculated, 80% of the above requirement is produced as sewage. Design factor can be assumed as 3 & then maximum sewage discharge can be calculated.

	$= 0.576 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
Design Sewage Discharge	= 3×0.192
Sewage Quantity	$= 0.192(m^3/s)$
Water Supply	$= 0.24 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
Average Population	= 137949

4.4 Hydraulic design of sewer

Manning's formula can be used for the design of sewer. By using Arc GIS, the slope of the study area can be calculated. The N value for concrete pipe was taken as 0.015 from the IS 1771-1993. From these data, the diameter of the sewer pipe is calculated. Further it is checked for self-cleansing velocity & dry weather flow (DWF).

 $Q = 1/N \times A \times R(2/3) \times S(1/2)$

 $0.576 = (1/0.015) \times (3.14 \times D2/4) \times (D/4)(2/3) \times (6 \times 10-3)$

D = 0.7 m

4.5 Design of storm water drain

The rational method was used to design the drains in the study area. The quantity of runoff was worked out using the formula

Considering the topography, slope & nature of soil in the study area the value of coefficient of runoff (K) and drainage area (A) was assessed and found to be 1156.

Using the kinematic equation the time of concentration was found out to be 56 min.

By using past 6 years rainfall data & IDF curve of the study area, the intensity of rainfall was found to be 4.9 cm/hr.

Quantity of storm water produced

 $Q = 1/36 (K \times A \times P_C) = 157.3 m^3/s$

4.6 Section of the drain

By using manning's formula, the section of the drain was determined as most economical section.

Ward 6 to 9,	depth	= 1.2 m
	Width	= 2.4 m
Ward 10 and 11	, depth	= 1.15 m
	Width	= 2.2 m

Ward 12 and 13, depth = 0.65 m

Width = 1.2 m

4.6 Preliminary costing

A feasibilty study is a conducted by calculating preliminary cost, because it is conducted before a project begins. Preliminary costing is based on the typical geometriuc drain sections arrived for primary and major drains. This costing represents the block cost of the storm drains including the cross drainage works, protective works, opertion and maintenance and other miscellaneous works.

Table -2: Quantity estimation of earth work excavation

ITEM			DIMENSIONS				
NO	DESCRIPTION	NO	DIN	1ENSION	S	QUANTITY	
			L	В	W	m ³	
	EARTH						
	WORK						
	EXCAVATION						
	Main sewer	2	1900	0.9	1.5	5130	
	Lateral						
	sewer						
	P.k salai 1	2	600	0.5	0.3	180	
	P.k salai 2	1	130	0.5	0.3	19.5	
	Mariamman						
	kovil street	1	1400	0.3	0.2	84	
1	Elakara						
-	street	8	190	0.5	0.3	228	
	Church street	1	1300	0.5	0.3	195	
	Thiunallar						
	main road	6	500	0.4	0.3	360	
	French						
	teacher						
	street	8	230	0.4	0.3	220.8	
	Ambethkar						
	street	1	450	0.4	0.3	54	
	Moh road	1	261	0.4	0.3	31.32	
				TOTA	Լ	6502 62	
				OUAN	ТІТҮ	0502.02	

Table -3: Quantity estimation of brick work

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	NO	DIME	QUANTITY		
	BRICK WOK					
	Brick wok					
	manhole	32	1.2	0.2	1.3	9.984
3	Longitudinal					
	cross section	32	0.8	0.2	1.3	6.656
	Deduction					
	Pipe	32	0.502	0.2	1	3.2128
	Inlet	32	0.192	0.2	1	1.2288
				ТОТА	L	
				OUAN	TITY	12.1984

L



Volume: 07 Issue: 09 | Sep 2020

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Table -4: Quantity estimation of cement concrete

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	NO	DIMENSIONS			QUANTITY
	CEMENT					
	CONCRETE(1:1.5:					
	Main sewer(RCC)	2	1900	015	09	513
	Trenge(_	1,000	0.10	0.7	010
	(a+b)/2)*2	2	1900	0.31		1191.3
	Deduction(cicula	2	1900	0.4.4		830 30
	Rectangula	2	1700	0.11		037.37
	channel	2	600	0.3	0.1	36
	Base concete	1	0.6	0.1	60 0	36
	P.k salai	1	130	1.2	0.1	15.6
	Manjakovil steet	1	1400	0.9	0.1	126
	Elakan steet	1	190	0.9	0.1	171
	Chuch steet	1	1300	12	0.1	156
	Thiunalla st	6	500	0.9	0.1	270
	Fench steet	8	230	0.9	0.1	165.6
	Ambethga st	1	450	0.9	0.1	40.5
	Moh road	1	261	0.9	0.1	23.49
2	Slah	1	201	0.9	0.1	0
	Manaian					0
	steet1600	1	600	0.7	0.1	42
	Maiappan street	1	1400	0.5	0.7	490
	Elakara street	8	190	0.7	0.1	106.4
	Chruch street	1	1300	0.7	0.1	91
	Thiunalla st	6	500	0.6	0.1	180
	French teacher	0	220	0.6	0.1	110 /
	Ambolia street	0	450	0.6	0.1	27
	Moh road	1	450	0.6	0.1	1566
	Montola	1	201	0.0	0.1	0
	Maimole	3		0.1		0
	Base concete	2	1.2	5	1.2	6.912
		3				
	Slab concete	2	1.2	1.2	0.1	4.608
	Clab concusto	3	2.2	0.0	1	4.000
	Slab concrete	2	3.2	4	1	4.096
	Phase concrete	2	0.9	0.9	0.8	20.736
	Deduction(circul	3	0.50			
	ar pipe)	2	2	0.1	1	1.6064
	Manhala	3	0.50	0.0	1	14.515
	Mannole cover	2	4 0.02	0.9	1	Ζ
	Slope concrete	2	4	0.5	0.9	1.2096
	-					2835
			TOTA	L		1
			QUAN	111Y		

Table -5: Analysis rate of earth work

QUAN TITY	DESCRIPTION	RATE	PER	AMOUN	Г	
5	BELDER	400	DAY	2000		
4	MAZDOOR	400	DAY	1600		
3	BELDER	400	DAY	1200		
3	MAZDOOR	400	DAY	1200		
0.5	BHISTRI	300	DAY	150		
				28.3CU	М	
				EC		6150
	EARTH WORK	CUME				
	FOR 1	С	IS	217.314	5	
	EARTH WORK	6502.	CUM			
	REQUIRED	61	EC	459539.	4	
		6502.	CUM	585885	S.	
		61	EC	2		
Total						045425

Table -6: Analysis rate of brick work

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	RATE	PER	AMOU	NT	
5000	BRICK	8	NOS	40000		
30	CEMENT	400	BAG	12000		
15.9	MAZDORE	400	DAY	6360		
8	MANSON	600	DAY	4800		
1.76	BHISTI	300	DAY	528		
			CUBME			
2	SAND	700	С	1400		
	MIXING					
1	CHARGE	50	LS	50		
Total 65138						
BRICK WORK FOR CUMEC 6513.8/-						
FOR REQU	IRED BRICK W	ORK IS	79457.94/	-		

Table -7: Analysis rate of cement concrete

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	RATE	PER	AMOUN	Т		
60	CEMENT	400	BAG	24000			
			CUME				
2.73	SAND	700	С	1911			
		150	CUME				
5.45	AGGREGATE	0	С	8175			
1.766	MANSON	600	DAY	1059.6			
2.65	BHISTRI	300	DAY	795			
10.6	MAZDOOR	400	DAY	4240			
7.06	BELDAR	400	DAY	2824			
	MIXING						
1	CHARGE	50	LS	50			
	43054.6/						
	10 m ³						
CEMENT C	CEMENT CONCRETE FOR 1 CUMEC 4305.46/-						

Volume: 07 Issue: 09 | Sep 2020

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

Table -8:	Analysis	rate of	cement	concrete
rubic of	1 mary 510	Tute of	contente	conci ete

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	RATE	PER	AMOUNT	
	SEWER			960000	
640	PIPE	1500	NOS		
				300000	
300	INLET PIPE	1000	NOS		
1655.13	CEMENT	4305.4	CUME	7126126	
7	CONCRETE	6	С		
	8386126/				
	-				
TOTAL AMOUNT FOR SEWER IS 9711009/-					

4. RESULTS

The design and mapping of sewer and storm water drainage network for Karaikal was worked out.

 Table -9: Street wise sewerage discharge and detail of sewer

STORM WATER NAME	QUANTITY OF STORM (m ³ /s)	DIA/SEC OF THE STORM (m)	LENGTH OF STORM (m)	SLOPE OF STORM
MS	0.576	0.7	1900	1:158
MS 1	0.576	0.7	1900	1:158
LS 1	0.01952	0.2	600	1:1200
LS 1	0.01952	0.2	500	1:1200
LS 2	0.025	0.3	1400	1:1200
LS 1	0.01952	0.2	450	1:1200
LS 2	0.025	0.3	1300	1:1200
LS 3	0.0191	0.27	230	1:1200
LS 3	0.0191	0.27	261	1:1200
LS 1	0.01952	0.2	190	1:1200



Fig -4: Cross section of main and lateral sewer



Fig -5: Sewer network map

 Table -10: Street wise storm water discharge and detail of drain

SEWER NAME	QUANTITY OF SEWER	DIA/SEC OF THE SEWER	LENGTH OF SEWER	SLOPE OF SEWER
DS	4.08	0.7	1900	1:158
DS 1	4.08	0.7	1900	1:158
DS 1	4.08	0.2	600	1:1200
DLS 1	4.08	0.2	500	1:1200
DLS 2	3.13	0.3	1400	1:1200
DLS 1	4.08	0.2	450	1:1200
DLS 2	3.13	0.3	1300	1:1200
DLS 3	0.167	0.27	230	1:1200
DLS 3	0.167	0.27x	261	1:1200
DLS 1	4.08	0.2	190	1:1200



Fig -6: Cross section of drain



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056

Volume: 07 Issue: 09 | Sep 2020

www.irjet.net



Fig -7: Drain network map

5. CONCLUSIONS

Karaikal, the rapidly urbanizing town has exerted greater stress in dispensing the sewage distribution and storm water drainage.

Questionnaire survey shows that 92 % of study area has covered by open drainage network and 80 % of study area has comes under water stagnation due to improper maintenance.

Calculated total length of sewer line is 8731 m and the diameter of 700 mm and also calculated discharge quantity of waste water produced in study area is 0.576 m³/s. The distribution factor for the study area is 650, which is greater than 500. Therefore the wastewater is directly poured into the Arasalar river. Sewerage and storm water drainage network system of Karaikal map was drawn.

Preliminary costing was worked out for the sewer network system and it was estimated us around rupees one crore.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anamika paul. and Mimansa Gulati ., (2014), "design of sewerage system for jaffarpur area in southwest new delhi", International Journal of Civil Engineering research, 5, 29-34.
- [2] Harshil, H. Gajjar. and Dr. M. B. Dholakia., (2014), "Storm Water Network Design of Jodhpur Terka Area of City of Ahmedabad", International Journal of Engineering Development and Research, 2(1), 744-747.

- [3] Lukman, S. and A.S. Abdurrasheed., (2013), "Improvement Design of Storm Sewer Network for Flood Control", Improvement Design of Storm Sewer Network for Flood Control, 2(3), 31-37.
- [4] Murugesh katti. and Krishna B. M., (Aug-2015), "Design of Sanitary Sewer Network for District 2B, Vijayapur City Using SewerGEMS V8i Software", International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research, 4(8), 2766-2771.
- [5] Murugesh katti. and Krishna B. M., (2015), "Design of Sewer Network For Vijayapur City Using Software Version 3.0 Software", International Journal for Scientific Research & Development, 3(4), 649-654.
- [6] Nagoshe. S. R. and Rai. R. K., (May-2014), "Optimization of Sewerage Network By Dynamic Programming", IRF International Conference, 3, 120-124.
- [7] Needhidasan., (2013), "Design of Storm Water Drains by Rational Method – an Approach to Storm Water Management for Environmental Protection", International Journal of Engineering and Technology (IJET), 5(4), 3203-3214.
- [8] Otti. V. I. and Ejikeme, I. R., (Jan-2013), "The Environmental Effects of the Drainage System and Flood Control in Akwa City", International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 3(1), 28-33.
- [9] Patil, J. A. and Dr. Mrs. Kulkarani, S. S., (2012), "Design and Mapping of Underground Sewerage Network In GIS, A Case Study". International Journal of Science and Research, 3(8), 424-431.
- [10] Rai, R. K. and Deshmukh, S. A., (Jan-2016), "Comparative Study of Design of Sewer Line Using Hazen-Williams and Manning Equations", International Journal of Engineering Research, 5, 175-178.
- [11] Ram mohan rao and Zameer Ahmed., (2013), "Selection of Drainage Network Using Raster GIS – A Case Study", International Journal of Engineering Science Invention, 2(8), 35-40.
- [12] Santhi, T. and P. Sundara Kumar., (2015), "Storm Water Drainage Design (Case Study Vijayawada)", International Journal of Sciences & Engineering, 8(2), 507-511.
- [13] Sarala. and Sreelakshmi, G., (May- 2014), "Improvement of Storm Water Drainage System in Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation", International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology ,7(2), 605-613.
- [14] Shruthi. S. and Santosh Patil., (Aug-2005), "Hydraulic Design and Analysis of Underground Drainage System: for a Zone of Tumkur City", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2(5), 326-332.
- [15] Snehal N Baleva. and prof. kinnari R. Mishara., (Feb-2016), "Overview of Storm Water Network of East Zone of Ahmedabad City", International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development, 3(2), 252-255.