

# **Detecting Covid-19 In Chest X-ray Images Using Neural Networks**

## Saarthak Gupta<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student, Shikshantar Senior Secondary School, Gurugram, Haryana, India \*\*\*\_\_\_\_\_

**Abstract** – In this paper we study the applications of Artificial Intelligence, particularly, neural networks in predicting whether a patient is inflicted with Covid-19, the illness caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), a subtype of deep neural networks are the most suitable for image analysis. In this study, the Convolutional neural network algorithm is applied to chest *X*-ray images of patients afflicted with various respiratory pathologies to determine if they are suffering from Covid-19. Another key aspect is differentiating between Covid-19 and other lung illnesses like viral pneumonia.

#### Key Words: Neural Networks, COVID-19, Convolution, X-ray, Image Analysis

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

With a shortage of testing kits and healthcare infrastructure in many countries overwhelmed, new, accurate and automated methods to detect Covid-19 infections are the need of the hour. Modern A.I. (Artificial Intelligence) techniques can be effective to detect COVID-19 in medical images, particularly when radiologists are not available [1].

Covid-19 produces ground glass and consolidative opacities with a bilateral, peripheral, and lower lung distribution [2]. We aim to detect these lung opacities using Convolutional Neural Networks and help identify Covid-19 in the medical images of patients.

### **1.1 Existing Models**

Detection of Covid-19 in CT Scan images using deep anomaly detection algorithms can also be performed. Jianpeng Zhang et al. reported a 96.0% accuracy in detecting Covid-19 by using such techniques [3]. Ordinary deep neural networks have also been applied to this task but do not yield optimal performance. Thus, we shall turn to Convolutional Neural Networks to perform this classification.

### **1.2 Need For New Detection Approaches**

The Covid-19 pandemic has consumed the world, with 192 countries affected and global cases nearing 150 million. The widely used reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test can have a false negative rate from 67% to 21% [4]. As radiologists are not always available to analyse data from CT Scans and X-ray images, a system which can perform this task automatically greatly aids the medical fraternity.

## 2. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

The dataset on Covid-19 radiography images was created by researchers from the Qatar University in Doha, Qatar and the University of Dhaka in Dhaka, Bangladesh [5,6] along with other collaborators. Total number of images is 21,165. An overview of the dataset is presented in Table-1.

	Table -1: Covid	-19 Radiogra	phy Dataset	Overview
--	-----------------	--------------	-------------	----------

Covid-19 Radiography Data				
Image Class	Percent	Number of Images		
Healthy	48.2%	10,192		
Lung Opacity	28.4%	6,012		
Covid-19	17.1%	3,616		
Viral Pneumonia	6.4%	1,345		

Even though the images are greyscale they are composed of 3 channels- Red, Green, and Blue (RGB). However, all the colour channels have the same value. A single channel of a sample image is shown in Fig- 1. The images are an array of numbers where each dimension represents Height, Width, and Channel. The size of each image is thus 299x299x3. Asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic patients may have positive chest radiographs after 14 days of quarantine, even with no RT-PCR testing for COVID-19 [7] (sensitivity reported to be 59% by Ai et al [8]).



Fig -1: Image After Selection of One Colour Channel



Fig- 2 below shows 5 sample images from each of the classes: Covid-19, Lung Opacity, Normal, and Viral pneumonia in row-wise order. The pixel values in the array of an image can range from 0 to 255.



Fig -2: Samples from each Image Class

Our goal is to train a Convolutional Neural Network on this dataset using standard training algorithms and evaluate its performance on the testing set.

#### **3. NEURAL NETWORK ARCHITECTURE**

For the purpose of classifying chest X-ray images, we shall deploy a Convolutional Neural Network. Images in the real world often have a hierarchical structure, that is, they are composed of motifs that are repeated across images. These features are captured in the form of filters, and convolution is the process in which the filter is moved across the image and a high amplitude hotspot is obtained when the filter matches a region of the image. A sample CNN architecture is shown in Fig- 3.



Fig -3: Example Of A CNN

The output of the convolution process is summarized by the equation below,

I = Input Image W = Filter C = Convolved Output

Each convolution layer in the network uses the ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) [9] activation function defined as:

The average pooling layers reduce the size of the input passed to the subsequent layer by taking the average of the pixel values of a certain part of the image. The architecture of the model used in this study is summarized in Fig- 4.



Fig -4: Network Architecture



## 3.1 Optimization

For optimization we use the Adam optimization algorithm. It combines the AdaGrad and RMSProp algorithms. Adam can solve deep learning problems more efficiently than other methods like Stochastic Gradient Descent, Root Mean Square Propagation, Adaptive Gradient Descent (AdaGrad), and others [10].

#### 4. MODEL TRAINING AND EVALUATION

For the purpose of training the model, the whole data set was divided into two parts. We used 80.0% of the data (16,933 images) for training the model and the remaining 20.0% (4,232 images) as the test set for validating the model. We deployed Learning Rate Reduction and Early Stopping to train the model as effectively as possible. The summary of various runs is given in Table-2.

Model Performance				
Batch Size	Epochs	Classification Accuracy		
16	10	38.45%		
16	100	79.77%		
32	10	79.91%		
32	100	80.1%		

First, the model was trained for 10 epochs with a batch size of 16.





hart -2: Metric Recall For 10 Epochs, 16 Images Pe Batch



**Fig-5:** Confusion Matrix For 10 Epochs, 16 Images Per Batch

Then, the model was trained for 100 epochs with a batch size of 16.



**Chart -3:** Metric Recall For 100 Epochs, 16 Images Per Batch



Chart -4: Loss For 100 Epochs, 16 Images Per Batch



Fig-6: Confusion Matrix For 100 Epochs, 16 Images Per Batch

0.675 0.650 0.625 Recall Training 0.600 Validation 0.575 0.550 0.525 0.500 2 6 Ó 4 8 Chart -5: Metric Recall For 10 Epochs, 32 Images Per





Chart -6: Loss For 10 Epochs, 32 Images Per Batch



**Fig-7:** Confusion Matrix For 10 Epochs, 32 Images Per Batch

Finally, the model was trained for 100 epochs with a batch size of 32.



Then we trained the model with a batch size of 32 for 10 epochs.



Chart -8: Loss For 100 Epochs, 32 Images Per Batch



Fig-8: Confusion Matrix For 100 Epochs, 32 Images Per Batch

### **5. CONCLUSIONS**

From the data and performance metrics presented above, it can be seen that the best performing model is the CNN trained for 100 epochs with a batch size of 32 with an average accuracy of about 80% across the 4 classes: Covid-19, Lung Opacity, Normal, and Viral Pneumonia. Even though the model does not classify all Covid-19 samples correctly, its accuracy matches that of radiologists.

It is seen that larger batch sizes yield similar accuracy, irrespective of number of epochs. We did not make use of hyperparameter tuning but a learning rate of 0.01 provided good performance. The number of wrongly classified Covid-19 samples may have to be reduced before field deployment. Performance of CNNs can be improved by applying a Min-Max objective layer below the output layer [11].

Such CNN models have applications beyond detecting Covid-19 as well. For example, using transfer learning this model can be applied to detect lung tumors and other ailments associated with respiration. It may also be useful to account for medical history and data of patients like age, gender, medication use, etc.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mangal, A., Kalia, S., Rajgopal, H., Rangarajan, K., Namboodiri, V., Banerjee, S. and Arora, C., 2020. CovidAID: COVID-19 detection using chest X-ray. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09803.
- [2] Jacobi, A., Chung, M., Bernheim, A. and Eber, C., 2020. Portable chest X-ray in coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19): A pictorial review. Clinical imaging.
- [3] Zhang, J., Xie, Y., Li, Y., Shen, C. and Xia, Y., 2020. Covid-19 screening on chest x-ray images using deep learning based anomaly detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.12338, 27.
- [4] Variation in False-Negative Rate of Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction–Based SARS-CoV-2 Tests by Time Since Exposure. Ann Intern Med 2020;May 13:[Epub ahead of print].
- [5] M.E.H. Chowdhury, T. Rahman, A. Khandakar, R. Mazhar, M.A. Kadir, Z.B. Mahbub, K.R. Islam, M.S. Khan, A. Iqbal, N. Al-Emadi, M.B.I. Reaz, M. T. Islam, "Can AI help in screening Viral and COVID-19 pneumonia?" IEEE Access, Vol. 8, 2020, pp. 132665 - 132676.
- [6] Rahman, T., Khandakar, A., Qiblawey, Y., Tahir, A., Kiranyaz, S., Kashem, S.B.A., Islam, M.T., Maadeed, S.A., Zughaier, S.M., Khan, M.S. and Chowdhury, M.E., 2020. Exploring the Effect of Image Enhancement Techniques on COVID-19 Detection using Chest X-ray Images.
- [7] Bandirali, M., Sconfienza, L.M., Serra, R., Brembilla, R., Albano, D., Pregliasco, F.E. and Messina, C., 2020. Chest radiograph findings in asymptomatic and minimally symptomatic quarantined patients in Codogno, Italy during COVID-19 pandemic. Radiology, 295(3), pp.E7-E7.
- [8] Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H et al. Correlation of Chest CT and RT-PCR Testing in Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A Report of 1014 Cases. Radiology 2020 Feb 26:200642
- [9] Xu, B., Wang, N., Chen, T. and Li, M., 2015. Empirical evaluation of rectified activations in convolutional network. arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.00853.
- [10] Ruder, S., 2016. An overview of gradient descent optimization algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.04747.
- [11] Shi, W., Gong, Y., Tao, X., Wang, J. and Zheng, N., 2017. Improving CNN performance accuracies with minmax objective. IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems, 29(7), pp.2872-2885.